Contribution ID: 155e8fd2-35bf-42e5-a48e-ac5b3fb05109

Date: 08/03/2021 15:11:46

Targeted consultation on the establishment of a European single access point (ESAP) for financial and non-financial information publicly disclosed by companies

First action of the capital markets union action plan

Fields	marked	with	* are	mandatory.	
--------	--------	------	-------	------------	--

Introduction

Background of this targeted consultation

The purpose of this targeted questionnaire is to seek general and technical views on the way to establish a European single access point (ESAP) for companies' financial and sustainable investment-related information made public pursuant to EU legislation. The establishment of the ESAP is the first action in the Commission's new action plan on the capital markets union (CMU). The EU legislation in the financial services area (in relation to inter alia capital markets, credit rating, investment, lending, insurance, asset management, funds (including UCITs), sustainable finance) requires companies to disclose a wide range of documents, particulars and datasets in order to increase the transparency and reduce asymmetry of information between company insiders and external investors.

The collection and dissemination of data is however fragmented. The EU law rarely prescribes specific dissemination channels. A few datasets such as an issuer's annual financial report must be published via a register. Registers are most of the time scattered along the national and / or sectoral dimensions. At the EU level, the <u>European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA)</u> maintains a number of public registers.

Stakeholders encounter significant difficulties in accessing, comparing and using the companies' financial and sustainability-related information published pursuant to the relevant EU legislation. Based on responses received from stakeholders on previous consultation activities, it appears that:

- 1. Stakeholders find it difficult to access specific companies' information because the information itself is scattered geographically (generally by Member State), functionally and thematically. Information is also often searchable or available in local languages only, and not always freely accessible or bulk downloadable
- 2. Investors and users find publicly disclosed financial and non-financial information difficult to compare and analyse. This is mainly due to the lack of common standards for such disclosure, use of different identifiers for a

same entity, lack of interoperable formats and lack of harmonised implementation of reporting obligations at national level. The introduction of the ESEF format for financial reports by listed companies in 2021 or 2022 will to some extent remedy the situation but applies to only a small fraction of the regulated information disclosed by companies

 Stakeholders find the electronic usability of the data suboptimal. Data is hardly ever disclosed in a machine readable structured format. Notwithstanding some progress in the field of natural language processing, this undermines algorithmic processing of such data

The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental in many ways. Firstly, it is particularly detrimental to SMEs and to companies incorporated in Member States with less-developed capital markets. These companies lack cross-border visibility and struggle to find investors, thus reducing the liquidity of their securities. Secondly, it stifles market integration and innovation in the EU (such as pan-EU added value services and Fintech), and constitutes a competitive disadvantage for the EU capital markets in terms of attractiveness, compared to capital markets in other jurisdictions, such as the US. Lastly, the lack of integrated data management and access act as an important impediment to a fully-fledged capital markets union (CMU).

An EU-wide mechanism offering easily accessible, comparable and digitally usable information such as the ESAP can remedy the situation. The EU can add value by establishing an EU platform offering an EU single access point as well as an EU harmonised approach for the IT format for companies' information published pursuant to EU law.

Context and link with other initiatives

The Commission aims to foster policies that are fit for the digital age. Industrial and commercial data are key drivers of the digital economy. In its European Data Strategy of February 2020, the Commission declared its intention to make more data available for use in the economy and society. The strategy suggests the roll out of common European data spaces in crucial sectors such as the green deal and the financial sector. The Commission is preparing a legislative proposal to establish such spaces.

The <u>High Level Forum on the Capital Markets Union (HLF)</u>, set up by the European Commission in November 2019, recommended in its final report adopted on 10 June 2020 to set up the ESAP as an EU-wide platform in order to facilitate investors' access to company data, including that of SMEs. The HLF considered that standardised data reporting standards and formats should make data more easily accessible and comparable for investors. The need to improve accessibility, comparability and usability of information is also mentioned in the <u>digital finance strategy</u> (in order to facilitate real-time digital access to all regulated financial information, the strategy suggests that by 2024, information to be publically released under EU financial services legislation should be disclosed in standardised and machine-readable formats). Similarly, the forthcoming renewed sustainable finance strategy (planned for Q1 2021) is likely to deliver similar messages as regards public data in its remit.

The development of the ESAP will seek to encompass a wide scope of public information. The scope of the information covered by the platform will focus on the needs of users, in particular investors, while also taking into account the needs of a broader range of users such as civil society in particular as regards sustainability-related disclosures. It will also examine whether and how to embed information beyond the financial services area, such as entities with no access to capital markets and SMEs in order to expand their funding opportunities.

It will entail streamlining disclosure mechanisms set-out in EU legislation. The platform should build to the greatest extent possible on existing EU and national IT infrastructure (databases, registers, in order to avoid adding to companies reporting burden). The Commission invites input from stakeholders to define the precise information coverage, governance and features of the ESAP.

The development of ESAP will build on existing EU initiatives, such as the findings of the <u>European financial transparency gateway (EFTG) pilot project</u>, and will complement existing initiatives such as the <u>business registers interconnection system (BRIS)</u>.

The Commission has recently undertaken a range of public and other consultations – <u>Capital Markets Union High Level Forum final report</u>, a new digital finance strategy for Europe/FinTech action plan, non-financial reporting by large companies, fitness check on the EU framework for public reporting by companies, European strategy for data, renewed <u>sustainable finance strategy</u> –, relevant for the development of the ESAP. The responses to these consultations indicate a strong and widespread support for an ESAP as regards public financial as well as non-financial information from both listed and non-listed companies, e.g. entities with no access to capital markets such as SMEs.

The development and deployment of the ESAP will have to take account of the many ongoing initiatives addressing supervisory or high value datasets at Commission level or in collaboration with the <u>European supervisory authorities</u>.

Targeted consultation

This targeted consultation on the ESAP initiative takes account of already undertaken consultations and aims at gathering further evidence and views on the best way to establish an ESAP, including the scope of data (and whether it could be broadened to non-mandatory information), cost-benefits, how to address SMEs, etc.

Note that you are not required to answer every questions and you may respond to only those questions that you deem the most relevant.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact <u>fisma-esap-project@ec.europa.eu</u>.

More information on

- this consultation
- the consultation document
- the consultation strategy
- capital markets union
- the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

About you

*	Language	of	my	contribution
---	----------	----	----	--------------

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English

(Destonian Destonian
(Finnish
(French
(German
([®] Greek
(^D Hungarian
(^D Irish
(^D Italian
(Latvian
(Lithuanian
(Maltese
(Polish
(Portuguese
(Romanian
(Slovak
(Slovenian
(Spanish
(Swedish
*I ar	n giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
(Business association
(Company/business organisation
(Consumer organisation
(EU citizen
(Environmental organisation
(Non-EU citizen
(Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
(Dublic authority
(Trade union
(Other
* Firs	st name
	Ben

*Surname			
Renier			
*Email (this won't be p	oublished)		
ben@accountancyeurop	oe.eu		
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
Accountancy Europe			
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 em	nployees)		
Small (10 to 49)	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	249 employees)		
Large (250 or m	,		
255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is influence EU decision-makin 4713568401-18		er. It's a voluntary database fo	r organisations seeking to
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of c	origin, or that of your organis	sation.	
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre
			and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the
			Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe

Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	SolomonIslands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	FrenchSouthern andAntarctic Lands	Moldova	South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire SaintEustatius andSaba	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria

BotswanaBouvet IslandBrazilBritish Indian	GuatemalaGuernseyGuineaGuinea-Bissau	NetherlandsNew CaledoniaNew ZealandNicaragua	TaiwanTajikistanTanzaniaThailand
Ocean Territory British Virgin Islands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	NorthernMariana Islands	Tonga
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and Caicos Islands
Central AfricanRepublic	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New Guinea	United Arab Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay	UnitedKingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling) Islands	Japan	Philippines	United StatesMinor OutlyingIslands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay

	Comoros	Jordan		Poland		US Virgin
						Islands
0	Congo	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	Kenya	0	Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati	0	Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	0	Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia	Kuwait	0	Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western
						Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint	0	Yemen
				Barthélemy		
0	Czechia	Lebanon	0	Saint Helena	0	Zambia
				Ascension and		
				Tristan da		
				Cunha		
	Democratic	Lesotho		Saint Kitts and		Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis		
0	Congo	Liberie	0	Coint Lucio		
	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		
* Field	of activity or sector	or (if applicable):				
V	Accounting					
V	Auditing					
	Banking					
	Credit rating ager	ncies				
	Insurance					
	Pension provision	1				
	Investment manag	gement (e.g. hedge	fun	ds, private equity	fun	ds, venture
	capital funds, mor	ney market funds, se	cui	rities)		
	Market infrastruct	ure operation (e.g. C	CCF	s, CSDs, Stock e	xch	nanges)
	Social entreprene	eurship				
	Other					
	Not applicable					

- *Are you a financial market participant?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
- * In terms of information published by market participants, are you a user or a preparer?
 - User
 - Preparer
 - User and preparer
 - None of these

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

General questions

In this first section of the consultation, the Commission seeks to get stakeholders' views on some general questions regarding the features of the European single access point (ESAP). The Commission seeks views on which information stakeholders generally search for, where they search for it, in which format(s) and the barriers stakeholders might encounter. This will also help the Commission to prioritise which aspects should be considered immediately when developing ESAP, and which could be implemented at a later stage.

Question 1. Please rate the following characteristics of ESAP based on how relevant they are according to you:

	1 (fully disagree)	2 (somewhat disagree)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat agree)	5 (fully agree)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
The information quality (accuracy and completeness) is most important	0	0	0	0	•	©
The widest possible scope of the information is most important	0	0	0	0	•	0
The timeliness of the information is most important	0	0	0	0	•	0
The source of the information is a key element to know	0	©	0	0	•	0
The immutability of the information is a key element	0	©	©	©	•	©
ESAP should include information made public on a voluntary basis by non-listed companies of any size, including SMEs	©	•	0	0	•	0
ESAP should include information made public on a voluntary basis by financial market actors	0	0	0	0	•	0
Other aspects	0	0	0	0	0	0

Question 1.1 Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Although Accountancy Europe believes that all characteristics are relevant in an ESAP context, it would be important to work with priorities in the beginning. For example: We support a wide scope of information in ESAP, but it would be good to start with certain information and add additional information at a later stage (Please refer to our response to guestion 7, The scope of ESAP).

- Quality: High quality information (e.g. accuracy, completeness) on the ESAP is of utmost importance considering that users, including investors, base their decisions upon the information provided. We suggest the following instruments to ensure data quality:
- Automated data quality system within the ESAP to ensure for example data consistency and immutability
- o Users should also be able to flag any data quality concerns
- o Indicate and specify the involvement of auditors: Financial information of entities, except small entities (and in some EU Member States also part of the small entities), is audited based on EU legislation. Non-Financial Information is also increasingly assured. Auditors could also play a role when the data is generated, uploaded and maintained within the ESAP.
- o A feedback loop should be in place to report back actual errors to data sources and regulators
- Scope: We agree with a wide scope of information (see our response to question 7). Accountancy Europe also strongly supports interconnected financial and non-financial information, please refer to our thought leadership paper 'Core & More: An opportunity for smarter corporate reporting' (https://www.accountancyeurope.eu/wp-content/uploads/170918-Publication-Core-More.pdf).
- Timeliness: Please refer to our response to question 13
- Source: Please refer to our response to question 14
- Immutability: Please refer to our response to question 14
- Information disclosed by non-listed entities, including SME's on a voluntary basis: Please refer to our responses to questions 17-21
- Information disclosed by financial market actors on a voluntary basis: We welcome all company information meeting the quality criterion, disclosed on a voluntary basis, which might improve allocation of capital and promote market integration across the EU.

Question 2. Which channels do you use when searching for, retrieving or using companies' public information?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Company's website
- Data aggregation service providers
- Stock Exchanges
- Public repositories or databases (OAMs, NCAs, ESAs)
- Other

Question 3. Would you say that the cost for retrieving and using companies
public information is:
Immaterial
Average
High
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Question 3.1 Please provide more information on your answer to question 3:
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Please refer to our response to question 5.1 and question 6.1
Question 4. In which electronic format is companies' public information
provided by these channels?
Please select as many answers as you like
✓ XBRL
☑ PDF
XML
✓ HTML
☑ CSV, TXT
Excel
Formats enabling natural language processing
Other
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Question 5. Do you encounter barriers or difficulties when accessing the
information?
Yes
No
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

when accessing the information:

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Information disclosed by companies is fragmented and difficult to access:

- Multiple disclosure mechanisms: websites, national registers, European databases
- Information not always freely accessible (registration, payment, etc.)
- Entails additional search costs
- Commercial data aggregators are costly
- Language can be a barrier when accessing information

Question 6. Do you encounter barriers or difficulties when using the information?

- Yes
- O No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 6.1 Please describe the barriers or difficulties you encountered when using the information:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Information is mostly disclosed in formats that are not machine readable:

- Except for financial reports (ESEF) most regulated information disclosed as PDF, image scans, etc.
- No commonly agreed structured data format. Data aggregators use proprietary formats. It is also the case that the quality control mechanisms applied by the data aggregators vary and are not the same as e.g. the audit of ESEF information. An 'open source' structured data format, where the output is subject to audit, will increase both the credibility of the provided information and usability of the data in decision making, hence contributing to the effectiveness of the EU capital markets.

Language is sometimes a barrier when using information.

The scope of ESAP

Question 7. Should ESAP include information from the hereunder provided list of EU legislations in the financial area?

And if so, please specify whether the ESAP should embed this information immediately (as soon as the ESAP starts) or at a later stage (phasing in).

- 1) The Transparency Directive (2004/109/EC) (e.g. annual/half yearly financial reports, acquisition or disposal of major holdings)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree

0

- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 1):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We believe this concerns important entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

General note regarding the "scope section"

We applied the following methodology when completing the detailed questions of this section:

- 1) Overall we support a wide scope of the ESAP (see our response to Q1), i.e. "fully agree" to include all information resulting from EU legislation referred to in Q7
- 2) We performed a prioritization ("immediately" versus "at a later stage") based upon the following criteria:
- High priority
- o Entity specific information (including sustainability reporting)
- o Information related to banks & insurance entities (market discipline)
- o Governance related information
- Lower priority
- o Product specific information
- o Fund specific information
- o Sector specific information (e.g. utilities)

2) The Accounting Directive (2013/34/EU) (e.g. financial statements, management report, audit report)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral

Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage: Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 2): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. We believe this concerns important entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP. 3) The Audit Directive (2014/56/EU) and Audit Regulation (537/2014/EU) (e.g. auditor transparency reports) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage: Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where

appropriate,	concrete	examples	and	data	to	support	your	answers	tc
question 7. 3)):								
5000 character(s)	maximum								

inc	luding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	We believe this concerns important information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

4) The Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD) (2014/95/EU) (e.g. nonfinancial statement)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7.4):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We believe this concerns important entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

5) The Prospectus Regulation (2017/1129/EU) (e.g. Prospectus, Universal **Registration Document, SME Growth Markets-information)**



Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage: Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7.5): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. We believe this concerns important entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP. As explained in our response to Question 17.1 further below, SMEs listed on SME Growth Markets should still be subject to voluntary ESAP disclosures only. 6) The Shareholders Rights Directive (2007/36/EC) and (2017/828/EU) (e.g. **Remuneration Report)** Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at

Fully disagree

a later stage:

Immediately

At a later stage

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

18

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 6):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We believe this concerns important governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

- 7) The Market Abuse Regulation (596/2014/EU) and Market Abuse Directive (2014/57/EU) (e.g. inside information)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 7):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

8) The Resolution and Recovery of Credit institutions and Investment firms
Directive (BRRD) (2014/59/EU) (e.g. information on the group financial
support agreement)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 8):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns financial sector entity information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

- 9) The Covered Bonds Directive (2019/2162) (e.g. information on the cover pool)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 9):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We suggest including at a later stage considering it concerns product related information.

- 10) The Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) (2013/36/EU) and Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) (575/2013/EU) (e.g. prudential information, stress test results)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 10):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns financial sector entity information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

11) The Credit Ratings Regulation (1060/2009/EU) (e.g. transparency report)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 11): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. We believe this concerns important governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.
12) The Central Securities Depositories Regulation (909/2014/EU) (e.g. governance arrangements)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at

a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 12):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP

- 13) The Key Information Documents for Packaged Retail and Insurance-based Investment Products (PRIIPs) Regulation (1286/2014/EU) (e.g. key information document)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 13):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Considering prioritization, we suggest including this product specific information at a later stage.

14) The Regulation on European Long-term Investment Funds (ELTIF) (2019/760/EU) (e.g. fund-related information)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to
question 7. 14): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage. 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model)
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage. 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g.
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage. 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model)
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage. 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model) Fully disagree
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage. 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage. 15) The European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR) (648/2012/EU) (e.g. prices and fees of services provided, risk management model) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 15):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

- 16) The Financial Conglomerates Directive (FICOD) (2011/89/EU) (e.g. corporate structure of the conglomerate)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 16):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns financial sector entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

17) The Directive of Prudential Supervision of Investment Firms (IFD) (2019/2034/EU) and the Regulation of Prudential Requirements of Investment Firms (IFR) (2019/2033/EU) (e.g. aggregated information on high-earners, remuneration arrangements)
Fully disagreeSomewhat disagreeNeutral
 Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:
 Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 17):
5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
This concerns financial sector entity/governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.
18) The Directive on the Activities and Supervision of Institutions for Occupational Retirement Provision (IORP) (2016/2341/EU) (e.g. remuneration
policy) © Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral

Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage: Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to **question 7. 18):** 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. This concerns important governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP. 19) The Pan-European Personal Pension Products Regulation (PEPP) (2019 /1238/EU) (e.g. key information document) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage: Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Somewhat agree

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 19):

inc	cluding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
	Considering prioritization, we suggest including this product specific information at a later stage.
20) The Regulation on Wholesale Energy Market Integrity and Transparency
R	EMIT) (1348/2014/EU) (e.g. inside information)
	Fully disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Neutral

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage

Somewhat agree

Fully agree

5000 character(s) maximum

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 20):

5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

С	considering prioritization, we suggest including this sector specific information at a later stage.

21) The Securities Financing Transactions Regulation (SFTR) (2015/2365/EU) (e.g. aggregate positions)

Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or a
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where
appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to
question 7. 21):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage.
22) The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EC) (e.g. solvency and financial
condition report)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or a

Ple a later stage:

Immediately

- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to

	•	,	•	• •	,	
que	stion 7	'. 22):				
500	0 characte	er(s) maximum				

This concerns financial sector entity information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

23) The Short Selling Regulation (236/2012/EU) (e.g. net short position)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where
appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to
question 7. 23):
5000 character(s) maximum
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Considering prioritization, we suggest including this specific information at a later stage.

24) The Take-Over Bid Directive (2004/25/EC) (e.g. Information in the

management report on companies' capital and shareholders, voting rights,

governance...)

 Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage: Immediately At a later stage Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 24): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method. It concerns important entity specific/ governance related information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.
25) The Directive of Markets in Financial Instruments (MIFID) (2014/65/EU) and Regulation of Markets in Financial Instruments (MIFIR) (600/2014/EU) (e. g. volume and price of certain transactions) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

Immediately

At a later stage

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 25):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

This concerns financial sector entity information and as such we s	uggest including it immediately in ESAP.

26) The Regulation on European Venture Capital Funds (EuVECA) (345/2013 /EU) (e.g. fund-related information)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 26):

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage.	

07) The Devulation on Funancial automorphism funds (FuCFF) (240
27) The Regulation on European social entrepreneurship funds (EuSEF) (346 /2013/EU) (e.g. fund-related information)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 27): 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage.
28) The Regulation on Money Market Funds (2017/1131/EU) (e.g. prospectus) Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 28)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks	, i.e. stricter than the MS	Word characters	counting method.
----------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	------------------

	9 9			
Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage.				

- 29) The Directive on the coordination of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (2009/65/EC) (e.g. key investor information)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 29)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

30) The Directive on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (AIFM) (2011/61
/EU) (e.g. investment strategy and objectives of the fund)
Fully disagree
Somewhat disagree
Neutral
Somewhat agree
Fully agree
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at
a later stage:
Immediately
At a later stage
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 30) 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
Considering prioritization, we suggest including this fund specific information at a later stage.
31) The Regulation on EU Climate Transition Benchmarks, EU Paris-aligned Benchmarks and sustainability-related disclosures for benchmarks (EU 2019 /2089) (e.g. information on measurable carbon emission reduction) Fully disagree Somewhat disagree Neutral Somewhat agree Fully agree Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at

a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 31)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We believe this concerns important entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

- 32) Information on sustainability risks and impacts disclosed pursuant to the Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 on sustainability-related disclosure and The Taxonomy Regulation (2020/852/EU) (e.g. sustainability risks integration policies)
 - Fully disagree
 - Somewhat disagree
 - Neutral
 - Somewhat agree
 - Fully agree
 - Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 32)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We believe this concerns important entity specific information and as such we suggest including it immediately in ESAP.

33) The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS)

- Fully disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Neutral
- Somewhat agree
- Fully agree
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answers to question 7. 33)

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We believe this concerns important information, the reports are already available and we consider the combination of financial and emission-related information especially relevant to the EU climate agenda. As such we suggest including this in ESAP as soon as possible. However, we do acknowledge that this may be challenging. We think that including ETS information should not significantly delay the implementation of ESAP.

34) Other

- Yes
- ON No

Please specify from what are other EU legislation(s) in the financial area should ESAP include information, and explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and data to support your answer:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- Press releases: We believe that a company's press releases, in a corporate reporting context, should be included in ESAP as well. Many analyst value that information highly and use it in the context of existing corporate reports, financial as well as non-financial.
- Country-by-country reporting

Please specify whether the information should be included immediately or at a later stage:

- Immediately
- At a later stage
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

The usability and accessibility

Investors and users find publicly disclosed financial and sustainability-related information difficult to compare and analyse. This is mainly due to the lack of structured data, of common frameworks and/or interoperable formats for such disclosures, the use of different identifiers for the same entity and the lack of harmonised implementation of reporting obligations at national level. This section of the questionnaire seeks stakeholders' views on format(s) in which the information in ESAP should be made available, in order to make it more usable digitally, and how stakeholders would prefer to have access to and retrieve this information from ESAP.

Question 8. In order to improve the digital use and searchability of the information, for which of the hereunder information would you support the use of structured data formats, such as ESEF (XHTML and iXBRL), XML, etc., allowing for machine readability?

Please select as many answers as you like

Net short position details

Fund-related information

✓ Listed companies' half yearly financial reports
 ✓ Financial statements
 ✓ Management report
 ✓ Payments to governments
 ✓ Audit report
 ✓ Total number of voting rights and capital
 ✓ Acquisition or disposal of issuer's own shares
 ✓ Home Member State
 ✓ Acquisition or disposal of major holdings
 ✓ Inside information
 ✓ Prospectuses

	Key Information Document
V	Public disclosure resulting from prudential requirements
	Remuneration policies
	Corporate structure of the conglomerate
	Governance arrangements
	Covered bonds - related information
V	Solvency and financial condition report
V	Sustainability - related information
1	Other

Please specify for what other information you would support the use of structured data formats allowing for machine readability:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

We applied the following methodology to respond to this question:

- High priority
- o Entity specific information (including sustainability information)
- o Banks, insurance entities related information
- Lower priority
- o Product related info
- Fund related info
- o Governance related (because mainly narrative reporting)

Important remark: Tagging of narrative reporting is more challenging and would be performed at a higher level (e.g. remuneration policies = 1 tag) => Impacts the selection as well

Question 9. Which of the following machine-readable formats would you find suitable?

	1 (not at all suitable)	(rather not suitable)	3 (neutral)	4 (somewhat suitable)	5 (highly suitable)	Don't know - No opinion - Not applicable
ESEF (XHTML files + inline XBRL tagging requirements)	0	0	0	0	•	0
XML files	0	0	0	•	0	0
CSV files	0	0	0	•	0	0
Excel	0	0	0	•	0	0
Formats enabling natural language processing	0	0	0	•	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0

Question 9.1 Please explain your position providing your arguments, and where appropriate, concrete examples and evidence to support your answers:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

The IT format should allow information to be both human and machine readable. The ESEF format (used for financial reporting) could as such be used in an ESAP context as well. We recommend using existing IT formats to allow a fast development of the ESAP tool.

Question 10. How should the information be accessible in ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Through Application Programming Interfaces (APIs)
- Bulk download
- Web portals
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 11. To what extent should the language barrier be tackled?

For the following features of the ESAP (web portal, metadata, taxonomy/labels, and content/data), which of the following language arrangements would you favour?

a) Portals / search tools:

- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

b) Metadata (where variable text):

- in original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

c) Taxonomy / labels (if any):

- in original language
- in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- in multiple or all EU languages

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
 d) Content / data: in original language in a language that is customary in the sphere of international finance in multiple or all EU languages Don't know / no opinion / not relevant
Infrastructure and data governance (collection of data + validation of data)
The Commission seeks stakeholders' views on the preferred technical solution(s) to establish the architecture of ESAP, and how to ensure the quality and integrity of the information within ESAP. A body in charge of ESAP, which should be non-for-profit, would be responsible for coordinating IT systems, maintenance and budgetary aspects.
Question 12. Should specific categories of stakeholders be involved in the governance of ESAP? Please select as many answers as you like
 ✓ EU authority (ESMA, European Commission etc.) or a consortium of EU authorities? ✓ National competent authorities ✓ Investors ✓ Reporting companies ✓ Other
Please specify which EU authority should be involved in the governance of ESAP: 5000 character(s) maximum including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.
ESMA among others Please specify which national competent authorities should be involved in

Please specify which national competent authorities should be involved in the governance of ESAP:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.				
	ease specify what other category(ies) of stakeholders should be involved in			
	e governance of ESAP:			
	1000 character(s) maximum luding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.			
	EU authorities and NCAs should be in charge of the governance. Investors, reporting companies and auditors should be consulted to ensure the quality and integrity of the information within ESAP.			
so the	nestion 13. Considering the point in time at which a company makes public me information that is legally required, what would be the ideal timing for information to be available on the ESAP? On character(s) maximum Iuding spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.			
	Information should be available on the ESAP on an ongoing basis as soon as available, in line with the legal requirements of the concerned information. The timeliness of the information, also via the ESAP, is very important. It is also crucial that the system allows to understand at what time the information was prepared.			
	uestion 14. Should the integrity of the information and the credibility of the urce of data used be ensured, when it is made accessible in ESAP? By electronic seals or electronic signature embedded at data emitter level By the ESAP platform By other means / trust services Don't know / no opinion / not relevant			
	Don't know / no opinion / not rolovant			

Question 15. Should the information in ESAP be subject to quality checks?

Yes

43

No
Other
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 16. Should a quality check be needed, what would need to be checked?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Certain key tests (matching figures, units, ...)
- Use of a correct taxonomy
- Completeness
- Availability of metadata
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Targeted questions regarding entities with no access to capital markets (non-listed entities), including SMEs

The lack of an integrated data management at the EU level is detrimental to entities with no access to capital markets notably to SMEs that struggle to find investors beyond national borders. Companies of all sizes – and in particular SMEs – need solid market-based funding sources. This was already the case before COVID-19, but will be even more important for the recovery if bank lending might not be sufficient. Therefore, this section of the consultation sets out questions on how ESAP specifically can help ensure that SMEs receive the funding they need.

SMEs, often do not have the technical expertise nor resources necessary to prepare reports in accordance with state-of-the-art, sophisticated standards. At the same time, many SMEs are under increasing pressure to provide financial information as well as certain sustainability related information in order to access market-based funding and for their usual conduct of business. In this respect, entities which cannot provide this information may experience a negative impact on their commercial and/or investment opportunities.

Question 17. Should it be possible for companies other than those with securities listed on EU regulated markets to disclose information on ESAP on a voluntary basis?

0	Yes

O No

Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 17.1 If you replied yes to question 17, please specifiy which type of entities should be allowed to disclose data on a voluntary basis in the ESAP:

- Companies with securities listed on a SME growth-market
- Companies with securities listed on other non-regulated markets
- Pre-IPO companies not yet listed on an exchange
- Any unlisted companies
- Other entities

Please specify what other entities should be allowed to disclose data on a voluntary basis in the ESAP:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

Any unlisted company that would like to access market-based funding and/or in the light of its usual conduct of business.

Question 18. What type of information should be disclosed on a voluntary basis in the ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- A set of predefined key financial information, allowing to compare data
- Any financial information that the issuer would be willing to render public via ESAP
- A set of predefined key sustainable related information, allowing to compare the data
- Any sustainability related information that the issuer would be willing to render public via ESAP
- Other

Question 19. As regards frequency of the submission of the voluntary information to ESAP, when should it occur?

- Following predefined periodic submission dates
- On an ongoing basis as soon as available
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 20. In which language should entities with no access to capital markets be able to encode the voluntary information?

- National language
 A language that is customary in the sphere of international finance
- Any language
- Other

Question 21. Should filings done on a voluntary basis by SMEs and non-listed companies follow all the rules of the ESAP as regards for instance identification, data structuring and formats, quality checks, etc.?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Please explain your answer to question 21:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

ESAP rules should be proportional concerning SMEs and non-listed companies.

It would however be of utmost importance that the data disclosed, on a voluntary basis, by SMEs and non-listed companies meets the following key characteristics: traceability (i.e. source of the data), immutability, and quality (i.e. accuracy and completeness).

Costs and benefits

The Commission anticipates that ESAP will lead to multiple benefits. It can, however, also, imply additional costs for

- i. preparers, in terms of compliance requirements on machine-readability, standards, as well as training of staff, etc.
- ii. users, in terms of search, collection and processing of the information they need
- iii. the development of the ESAP architecture. In some areas ESAP should also lead to cost savings, notably related to fil

Question 22. Do you expect that costs of introducing ESAP be proportionate to its overall benefits?

- Not at all
- To some extent
- To a reasonable extent
- To a very great extent
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Question 23. As a user, can you give an estimation of your yearly cost for retrieving and using companies' public information?

5000 character(s) maximum	
including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.	
Question 24. As a user, how large share of these costs do you expect to sa	240
through the use of ESAP?	1 V C
© 10%	
© 20%	
© 30%	
© 40%	
More than 50%	
Other	
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant	
Question 25. Should the user have access for free to all data in the ES	ΑP
(based e.g. on an open data policy approach)?	
Yes	
[©] No	
Don't know / no opinion / not relevant	
Question 26. Assuming that development and maintenance costs will ari	se,
how do you think the ESAP should be funded?	
Please select as many answers as you like	
☑ By EU funds	
By national funds	
☑ By users (i.e. usage fees)	
By preparers (i.e. uploading fee)	

Other

Please explain what you mean by 'other' in your answer to guestion 26:

5000 character(s) maximum

including spaces and line breaks, i.e. stricter than the MS Word characters counting method.

- Usage fees: We suggest a hybrid model: basic usage for free and additional services for a fee
- Uploading fee: Although we prefer a mixed sustainable funding model (public & private), the uploading fee might discourage filers from updating/uploading data. SMEs and companies filling data on a voluntary basis should be exempted from paying uploading fees.

Question 27. What would be the main benefits for entities with no access to capital markets to disclose this information publicly in ESAP?

Please select as many answers as you like

- Get more visibility and attract a broader range of investors
- Get more transparency on ESG data (easily retrievable)
- Other
- Don't know / no opinion / not relevant

Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) below. Please make sure you do not include any personal data in the file you upload if you want to remain anonymous.

The maximum file size is 1 MB.

You can upload several files.

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Useful links

More on this consultation (https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/finance-consultations-2021-european-single-access-point en)

Consultation document (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-document_en)

Consultation strategy (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-consultation-strategy_en More on capital markets union (https://ec.europa.eu/info/business-economy-euro/growth-and-investment/capital-markets-union_en)

Specific privacy statement (https://ec.europa.eu/info/files/2021-european-single-access-point-specific-privacy-statement_en)

More on the Transparency register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/homePage.do?locale=en)

Contact

fisma-esap-project@ec.europa.eu